

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,312	01/24/2002	Daryl S. Meredith	TN-1488	9558
7590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER		
Adan Ayala, Esq.			HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
Black & Decker	Inc.			
701 E. Joppa Road, TW-199			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Towson, MD 21286			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 00/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/056,312	MEREDITH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Isaac N Hamilton	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 May 2004.				
,	his action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition.	wance except for formal matte				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap priority documents have been to eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/056,312

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushiwata et al (5,425,294), hereafter Ushiwata, in view of Tsune (5,974,927). Ushiwata discloses base 1; rotatable table 2; plane is the top surface of table 2; saw assembly 8; motor 11; blade 10; arbor 9; first portion is the section of arbor 9 that is closest to blade 10, in other words, the portion that is to the left of the vertical line A-A in figure 12, and that is labeled 9 in figure 13; first gear 9 in column 3, line 22; intermediate gear 17; second gear 12; pivot arm 6; first position in figure 12; second position in figure 4 and column 3, lines 3-5; if the position in figure 12 were changed to the second position, so that the pivot arm is at a 45 degree angle relative to the table, then the second portion would be closer to the first portion. Ushiwata does not disclose a belt, however, Tsune discloses a belt in column 2, line 14. It would have been obvious to provide a belt in Ushiwata as taught by Tsune in order to provide a backlash eliminator assembly of Tsune is attached to the apparatus in Ushiwata by replacing the motor 11 (Ushiwata) with pulley 9 (Tsune), then relocating the motor in order to make room for the backlash eliminator 16. Figure 2 of Tsune

Application/Control Number: 10/056,312

Art Unit: 3724

suggests that there is not enough room for the backlash eliminator and the motor to be adjacent one another, and therefore the motor, belt and pulley system are utilized.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/25/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 2 under 35 USC 112, 102 and 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ushiwata and Tsune.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 703-305-4949, which will be changing to 571-272-4509 on November 15<sup>th</sup>, 2004. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 8am and 5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

September 15, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER